UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,884	03/15/2005	Hubert Groll	2002P01282WOUS	7624	
	46726 7590 06/23/2008 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			WALDBAUM, SAMUEL A		
100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/527,884	GROLL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon.  Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this commur  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)    Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final.  lowance except for formal matte	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 April 2008 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the counting The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)  accepted or b)  object o the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc orrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been rureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1792

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. In the reply filed April 28, 2008, the applicant cancelled claim 7-12 and added claims 13-

24. The applicant further amended the specification and the drawings.

2. The amendment filed April 28, 2008 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it

introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall

introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not

supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Detail how the pre-tensed spring is related in

the figure, and how that spring is supported by the basket and how the spring is attached to the

handle.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

#### **Drawings**

3. The applicant amended the drawing to include the pre-tensed spring, however these new

drawings are objected to because they contain new matter which shows how the spring wraps

around the basket wire, comes up underneath the pivot point, part 3 and comes up to attach to the

handle.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every

feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the Claim 19 (the dishwashing

machine, the compartment for the basket, the basket having multiple sides) must be shown or the

feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to

the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Art Unit: 1792

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1792

Claims 13-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scian, Luciano (EP 0855166, hereafter `166) in view of Finola et al (U.S. 5,601,195, hereafter `195) and Jacobus et al (U.S. 5,249,590, hereafter `590).

7. Claims 13, 14, 19 and 20: `166 teaches a front side of a basket (fig. 1) with a handle (fig. 1, part 6) with a fulcrum point (fig. 1, part 8, which means "the point or support which a lever pivots", www.dictionary.com, last visited January 9, 2008). `166 does not explicitly state that a door is a part of a standard dishwasher. `590 is a standard dishwashing machine. `590 teaches a door (fig. 1, part 14) in which the dishwashing racks with multiple sides rest inside the machine compartment (fig. 1). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, meaning that the door for the washing machine as taught by `590 is part of the standard dishwashing machine of apparatus `166.

`166 does not explicitly teach that the handle on the rack swings out when the door of the machine is opened. `195 is a dishwasher rack. `195 teaches that a member can pivot around one point and swing forward under the force of gravity after being released from being held in a vertical position (col. 2, line 10 - col. 3, line 40). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, meaning that the fact that a object can swing forward on a pivot point due to the force of gravity after being released as taught by `195 in apparatus `166 in view of `590 to yield the predictable result of the

door acting as the latch for the handle and once that the door is moved that gravity would take

Page 5

over and swing the handle out around fulcrum/pivot point.

8. Claim 15 and 21: `166 and `195 do not teach using a pre-tensed spring on a the handle

around the pivot point. '590 is solving the same problem as the applicant of forcing the

movement of a arm around a pivot point. `590 teaches using a pre-tensed spring (fig. 3, part 27)

to facility movement of the arm around the pivot point (col. 2, lines 15 - col. 4 line 10). It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

used a pre-tensed spring as taught by '590 in apparatus '166 in view of '195 to have moved the

handle around a pivot point.

9. Claim 16 and 22: Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished form prior art in

terms of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531

(CCPA). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a devices is not what a device does" *Hewlett-Packard* 

Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990),

meaning as the door closed in apparatus `166 in view of `590 and `195 in which when the door

would push the handle back in to the vertical position.

10. Claim 17 and 23: `166 teaches three sections a griping section (fig. 1, the top of the

handle, part 6, col. 2, line 15-57), a second section connected to the fulcrum point (fig. 1, part 10

the arm of the handle) and a third section that supports the handle (fig. 1, the area that swings

down below the fulcrum point).

11. Claim 18 and 24: `166 teaches that pieces can be snap-fitted to the wires of a basked

(col. 1, lines 10-40). `195 teaches using a snap fit connection around the pivot section (fig. 2,

parts 9 and 12) as a means of connecting the pivot point to the rack (col. 2, lines 10 - col. 3 line

40). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a snap fit connection as taught by `195 in apparatus `166 in view of 195 and `590 to have connected the handle to the wire of the washing basket.

# Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed April 28, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. The applicant is arguing that the prior art `166 does not teach that the handle is movable, and that the handle is locked into position. `166 teaches that the handles is capable of moving around the fulcrum col. 3 lines 5-10, where the handle key is to provide a means for pulling out the basket from the washing machine which is the key part of the invention. `166 also teaches that the handle for the basket can be modified without departing from the scope of the invention (col. 3, lines 20-30). Since `166 teaches that the handle is moveable/rotatable around it fulcrum point, it is within the skill level of one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention to allow the handle to rotate on it fulcrum, and still keeping within the scope of the invention of having a handle attached to the front of the basket to allow the user to grab the handle to pull out the basket.

## Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1792

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM whose telephone number is

(571)270-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TR 6:20-3:50, F 6:30-10:30 est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. A. W./

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/FRANKIE L. STINSON/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792